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HIGHLIGHTS

- The spectrum of traveling water waves is asymptotically approximated, considering two and three-dimensional perturbations.
- A multiple scale expansion is employed, coupling wave slope to Bloch parameter.
- The radius of the disc of analyticity of the spectrum is predicted, and compared to numerical simulations.
- Modulational instabilities are computed.
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ABSTRACT

The spectrum of periodic traveling waves in deep water is discussed. A multi-scale method is used, expanding the spectral data and the Bloch parameter in wave amplitude, to compute the size and location of modulated instabilities. The role of these instabilities in limiting the spectrum's analyticity is explained. Both two-dimensional and three-dimensional instabilities are calculated. The asymptotic predictions are compared to numerical simulations.

In this work, the spectrum of traveling water waves is approximated via small amplitude asymptotic expansion. This approach contrasts the vast majority of spectral stability computations, which are primarily numerical. Typical numerical computations calculate the spectrum via an eigensolver at each fixed amplitude—see for example [9,20,10]. Boundary perturbation methods take an alternative approach, expanding the traveling wave and spectrum in amplitude. Boundary perturbation methods calculate the coefficients of a series representation of the spectrum. Boundary perturbation methods have been applied to compute water waves numerous times [21–24] and are reviewed in [25]. This approach is employed for the water wave spectrum in [26,27].

In a series of recent works, the author and collaborators derived the weakly nonlinear asymptotics of the spectrum in conjunction with the development of boundary perturbation methods, for deep water gravity waves in [13], including surface tension in [28], and with finite depth effects in [29]. Each article in this series considers a two-dimensional fluid, and expands the spectrum in amplitude at a sampling of fixed Bloch parameters; instabilities which have both fixed Bloch parameter and are analytic in amplitude are observed to be rare.

It is known that small amplitude instabilities bifurcate from a set of resonant configurations, whose locations may be predicted...
by linear theory [7,8]. These resonant configurations are the Bloch parameters for which the spectrum of the linearization about the flat-state contains eigenvalue collisions. It is known that the spectrum is analytic as a function of amplitude at all Bloch parameters where the flat-state eigenvalues are simple [30]. Recent numerical simulations suggest that the spectrum is also analytic at eigenvalue collisions, but that the radius of the disc of analyticity vanishes as the Bloch parameter approaches the resonant configurations [28,29]. This vanishing radius has been proposed as a mechanism for detecting instabilities [27].

The potential flow equations have traveling wave solutions which are analytic in wave slope [31]. The leading asymptotics of the traveling wave have been computed numerous times [32–35]. The asymptotics of the spectrum have been computed for a two-dimensional fluid in [13,28,29], these asymptotics all compute the spectrum with fixed Bloch parameter. In this work, instabilities are computed with Bloch parameters which depend on amplitude, on both a two-dimensional and three-dimensional fluid. We use a multi-scale expansion which couples frequency and amplitude in a manner analogous to the modulational ansatz which is typically used to derive envelope equations [14,36,16]; we refer to the unstable spectral data computed in this manner as modulational instabilities.

Often the term modulational is used to refer only to the Benjamin–Feir instability. Although much of the asymptotic work regarding Benjamin–Feir dates back to the 1960s and RIT, more recently a number of authors have been pursuing rigorous proof of the existence of this instability in a variety of wave models [37,38]. Most similar in spirit to this work is that in [39,40], where an analogous perturbation in Bloch parameter is used. Although we consider only formal asymptotics, such asymptotics have been used as the basis for proofs of the existence of solutions in the TFE framework [31,30].

In the current framework, the classic long wave modulational instability, Benjamin–Feir, is recovered as are many other modulational instabilities. The onset of instability at fixed Bloch parameter, and thus the amplitude at which the fixed Bloch parameter spectrum loses analyticity, is predicted. Both two-dimensional and three-dimensional perturbations are considered. The asymptotic instability locations are compared with the numerical estimates of these locations using the method of Akers and Nicholls [13,28].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 begins by introducing the spectral stability problem for water waves. The asymptotics of the spectrum are then presented, in subsections organized by the type of resonance which is responsible for the flat-state eigenvalue collisions. Triad resonance is presented in Section 2.1; quartets are discussed in Section 2.2. We comment on higher order resonances in 2.3, and finally compute the Benjamin–Feir instability in Section 2.4.

2. Modulational instabilities of deep water waves

The widely-accepted model for irrotational motions of a large body of deep water in the absence of viscosity is the Euler equations

\begin{align}
\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy} + \phi_{zz} &= 0, \quad z < \epsilon \eta, \quad (2.1a) \\
\phi_z &= 0, \quad z \to -\infty, \quad (2.1b) \\
\eta_t + (\eta \phi_x + \eta \phi_y) &= \phi_z, \quad z = \epsilon \eta, \quad (2.1c) \\
\phi_t + \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2 + \phi_z^2 \right) + \eta &= -\sigma \frac{\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}}{\left(1 + \epsilon^2 \left( \eta_{xx}^2 + \eta_{yy}^2 \right) \right)^{3/2}}, \quad z = \epsilon \eta, \quad (2.1d)
\end{align}

where \( \eta \) is the free-surface displacement and \( \phi \) is the velocity potential. System (2.1) has been nondimensionalized as in [13,41]. We assume that the wave slope, \( \epsilon = A/L \) is small (\( A \) is a typical displacement and \( L \) the characteristic horizontal length, is chosen so that waves in (2.1) have spatial period \( 2\pi \)). The constant \( \sigma = \frac{g}{\gamma} \) is a Bond number comparing the relative importance of gravity, \( g \), to surface tension \( \gamma \).

The potential flow equations (2.1), have traveling wave solutions which depend analytically on wave slope [31]. These solutions can be written in terms of the speed \( c \), the displacement \( \eta \), and the free surface trace of the potential \( \Phi \), each as a series in \( \epsilon \). Periodic traveling wave solutions are often called Stokes’ waves, as the leading order terms of this series were first written by Stokes [32]. We consider the stability of the classic Stokes wave, which is constant in transverse direction, and at leading order is supported at wavenumber \( k_0 = (1, 0) \). The speed, displacement and free surface trace of the potential of this wave are, to \( O(\epsilon^2) \),

\begin{align}
c &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \tilde{c}_n = \left( \sqrt{1 + \sigma} \right) + O(\epsilon), \\
\tilde{\eta} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \tilde{\eta}_n = \epsilon e^{k_0 \cdot x} + \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - 2\sigma} \right) e^{2k_0 \cdot x} + O(\epsilon^3), \\
\tilde{\phi} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \tilde{\phi}_n = \epsilon i \sqrt{\frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - 2\sigma}} e^{k_0 \cdot x} + \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{3i \sqrt{1 + \sigma}}{1 - 2\sigma} \right) e^{2k_0 \cdot x} + O(\epsilon^3). 
\end{align}

In (2.2), the \( * \) refers to the complex conjugate of the preceding terms. This traveling wave solution is constant in the transverse horizontal direction, here \( y \). Later the perturbations of this wave will be permitted to have non-trivial dependence on both horizontal coordinates, \( x = (x, y) \).

The spectral stability of these traveling waves (2.2) is considered by writing Eq. (2.1) in terms of the free surface trace \( \Phi \) and displacement \( \eta \), as in [42], then substituting the ansatz

\begin{equation}
\eta = \tilde{\eta}(x + ct) + \delta \xi (x + ct) e^{it}, \quad \phi = \tilde{\phi}(x + ct) + \delta \mu (x + ct) e^{it}, \quad \Phi = \tilde{\Phi}(x + ct) + \delta \Phi (x + ct) e^{it},
\end{equation}

and neglecting quadratic powers of \( \delta \). The result is a generalized spectral problem of the form

\begin{equation}
A(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\phi}, c) \cdot w = \lambda B(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\phi}, c) \cdot w,
\end{equation}

where \( w = (\xi, \mu)^T \). Traveling waves are considered spectrally unstable if solutions to (2.4) have \( \lambda \) with positive real part. It is straightforward to calculate the operators \( A \) and \( B \), and we refer the interested reader to [13,28].

To solve (2.4), we must append boundary conditions for \( w \). Rather than assuming perturbations \( w \) share a period with the traveling waves, thus restricting to superharmonic perturbations [43], we consider arbitrary periods, including subharmonic perturbations [44]. Subharmonic perturbations satisfy Bloch (quasi) periodic boundary conditions [45]. If the traveling wave is \( x \)-periodic with period \( L \), then the perturbations satisfy

\begin{equation}
w(x + L, y) = e^{i\kappa L} w(x, y).
\end{equation}

For \( L = 2\pi \), periodic traveling waves, it is sufficient to consider the set of Bloch parameters with \( p \in [0, 1) \). Similar conditions apply in the \( y \)-direction, whose corresponding Bloch parameter is labeled \( q \); we will combine these two parameters in a vector \( \kappa = (p, q)^T \).
boundaries, have the quartet boundary scaling, but have asymptotically small, $p_{28}$.
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\( \kappa \) implies a resonance condition between the temporal frequencies $\omega(k_1)$ and $\omega(k_2)$.

\[ Eq. (2.8) \] is fundamental in understanding instabilities of Stokes waves. Examining the left and rightmost sides of (2.8), flat-state eigenvalue collisions occur when the wave numbers of the perturbations, $k_1$ and $k_2$, resonate with $\Delta m = m_1 - m_2$ copies of the Stokes wave, at frequency $k_0$. It is the resonant mixing of these wave numbers that may lead to instabilities; only near these resonances may finite amplitude eigenvalue collisions and instabilities occur [7,8]. The difference between the first component of the wavenumbers of the perturbations, $\Delta m$, determines the type of resonance, and the character of the spectrum. For this reason it is natural to present the results in using the naming conventions of Resonant Interaction Theory [3]. When this difference is $\Delta m = 1$ the eigenvalue collision is due to a triad resonance. Similarly $\Delta m = 2$ is a quartet resonance, $\Delta m = 3$ is a quintet, etc. Although collisions of more than two eigenvalues are rare, we include the asymptotics of the spectrum about one collision of four flat-state eigenvalues, the Benjamin–Feir case.

The same base perturbation series can be used to derive the leading eigenvalue correction in all cases, beginning with a leading order perturbation of the form

\[ \begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} &= \beta_{0,1} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_1 \\ |k_1| \end{pmatrix} e^{i \kappa_1 x} \\
&+ \beta_{0,2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_2 \\ |k_2| \end{pmatrix} e^{i \kappa_2 x} + \beta_{0,3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*} \tag{2.9} \]

We will normalize the eigenfunctions so that $\beta_{0,1} = 1$. When the flat-state eigenvalue, $\lambda_0$, has algebraic multiplicity of two, $\beta_{0,3}$ will be set to zero; to consider the Benjamin–Feir case we replace the wave numbers of the perturbations are set as $k_1 = k_0$, and $k_2 = -k_0$. The $O(\varepsilon)$ corrections to the perturbation solve a forced linear partial differential equation,

\[ \begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_x \\ 1 - \sigma \Delta \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_y \end{pmatrix} \Delta \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \beta_{0,j} \begin{pmatrix} q^m \\ Q^m \end{pmatrix} e^{i(k + m\alpha_0) x} = 0,
\end{align*} \tag{2.10} \]

where $\Delta$ is the operator induced by $z$-derivatives using a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, as in [42,46], with Fourier symbol $\mathcal{L}(k) = |k|$.

The forcing in Eq. (2.10) is introduced in two ways. First, non-linear interactions between the leading order perturbation $(\xi_0, u_0)$ with the leading order Stokes wave $(w_j, \phi_1)$ generate the coefficients $q_j^{\pm 1}$, $Q_j^{\pm 1}$. Second, the first variation of the linear operators when expanded in Bloch parameter generates the $q_j^{0}$, $Q_j^{0}$ which contain the modulational contribution to this series. The nonlinear interaction coefficients were reported for a two dimensional fluid in [28], and are calculated for a three-dimensional fluid below. The coefficients of the forcing terms, for $j = 1, 2$ are

\[ q_j^{\pm 1} = i(k_j \pm k_0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i k_j \cdot (\lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_j) \\ |k_j| \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{k_0 - k_0} \frac{1 + \sigma}{c_0 \cdot k_0}, \]

\[ Q_j^{\pm 1} = i(1 + \sigma)(\pm |k_j| - k_j) \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_j \\ - \omega(k_j)^2 - \omega(k_0)^2 \]

\[ q_j^{0} = \lambda_1 + i \alpha_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_j \\ |k_j| \end{pmatrix} \cdot k_1, \]

\[ Q_j^{0} = \left( \frac{\lambda_0 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_j}{|k_j|} \right) (\lambda_1 + i \alpha_0 \cdot k_1 + 2\sigma k_j \cdot k_1). \]
The eigenfunction at wavenumber \( k_3 = 0 \) is treated specially. The forcing terms due to interaction with this wavenumber have \( q_{2,1} = \Psi_{2,1} = 0 \) and
\[
q_{3} = -|\kappa_1|, \\
Q_0 = \lambda_1 + i\kappa_0 \cdot \kappa_1.
\]
Eq. (2.10) is always solvable; \( \lambda_1 \) is set by enforcing the Fredholm condition, that the forcing terms are orthogonal to \( \Psi_j \) in the kernel of the adjoint of linear operator in (2.10),
\[
\left( \lambda_0 - c_0 \partial_x \right) \left( \lambda_0 - c_0 \partial_x \right) \Psi_j = 0.
\]
The \( \Psi_j \) are labeled based on their support, which is at the same wave numbers as the leading order eigenvectors (\( \zeta_0, u_0 \)), at \( k_1, k_2 \), and in the Benjamin–Feir case \( k_3 \).
\[
\psi_j = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - i\kappa_0 \cdot k_j} \right) e^{i\beta_k x}.
\]
Enforcing solvability, orthogonality of the forcing terms to \( \Psi_j \), yields different equations for \( \lambda_1 \) depending on the difference in frequency between the wave numbers \( k_1, k_2 \) and \( k_3 \). In the following sections we discuss the solvability conditions, and their solutions, categorized by this frequency difference.

2.1. Triads

In this section the first eigenvalue correction \( \lambda_1 \) is computed. The correction is nonzero only when \( k_1 \pm k_0 = k_2 \) which we refer to as a triad. If a triad occurs, we label the wavenumbers so that \( k_1 - k_0 = k_2 \). With this labeling, imposing orthogonality of the forcing terms to \( \Psi_1 \) and \( \Psi_2 \) gives
\[
2(\lambda_1 + i(c_0 - \epsilon_k(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_1) + \beta_{0,2} \tau_1 = 0, \\
2\beta_{0,2} (\lambda_1 + i(c_0 - \epsilon_k(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_1) + \tau_2 = 0,
\]
where
\[
\tau_1 = q_{2,1} - \left( \frac{\lambda_0 + i\kappa_0 \cdot k_1}{1 + \sigma|k_1|^2} \right) Q_{2,1}, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\tau_2 = q_{1} - \left( \frac{\lambda_0 + i\kappa_0 \cdot k_2}{1 + \sigma|k_2|^2} \right) Q_{1}.
\]
For a general triad, the \( \tau_j \neq 0 \), and the first nonzero correction to the flat-state spectrum is
\[
\lambda_1 = -i \left( c_0 - \epsilon_k(k_1) + \epsilon_k(k_2) \right) \cdot \kappa_1 \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} \tau_1 \tau_2 - (\epsilon_k(k_2) - \epsilon_k(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_1)^2.
\]
where \( \epsilon_k(k_j) \) is the group velocity vector at frequency \( k_j \) for \( j = 1, 2 \).
Both \( \tau_j \) are pure imaginary, so if \( \tau_1 \tau_2 > 0 \), then there is a band of \( k_1 \) where instabilities occur, which includes the non-modulated case \( k_1 = 0 \). If \( \kappa_1 \) is parallel to the difference of the group velocities, i.e. longitudinal perturbations, then instabilities exist within the interval
\[
|\kappa_1| < \sqrt{\frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{|\epsilon_k(k_1) - \epsilon_k(k_2)|}}.
\]
The largest triad instabilities are the non-modulational ones; the triads in the band of instabilities where \( \lambda_1 \) has the largest real part are at \( k_1 = 0 \).

Eq. (2.13) predicts the location of finite amplitude instabilities near triads on both two and three-dimensional fluids. It also predicts the vanishing radius of convergence of a fixed Bloch parameter boundary perturbation scheme in the neighborhood of a triad resonance. In the left panel of Fig. 2.2, estimates of the radius of convergence of the TFE method of Akers and Nichols, computed by the first non-cancelled pole of a Padé interpolant, is compared to the prediction of Eq. (2.13), with good agreement.

2.2. Quartets

If the wave numbers of the eigenvalue in question do not participate in a triad interaction, then the \( \tau_j \) are absent in (2.12), and the first eigenvalue correction is pure imaginary
\[
\lambda_1 = i (\epsilon_k(k_1) - c_0) \cdot \kappa_1.
\]
This is the case at quartets. For a typical quartet interaction, where \( \epsilon_k(k_1) \neq \epsilon_k(k_2) \), Eqs. (2.12) imply \( \beta_{0,2} = 0 \). For quartets with non-zero \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \beta_{0,2} = 0 \), the spectrum behaves like a stable triad, and there is no instability. To compute modulated quartet instabilities, \( \beta_{0,2} \) must be undetermined at this order, which occurs when
\[
\epsilon_k(k_1) \cdot \kappa_1 = \epsilon_k(k_2) \cdot \kappa_1.
\]
This condition is satisfied at generic wave numbers \( k_j \) if \( k_1 = 0 \), so that \( \lambda_1 = 0 \), and the leading correction to the flat-state spectrum is \( \lambda_2 \). Note, as presented in Section 2.4, the Benjamin–Feir instability...
is a special quartet, where the wave numbers also take part in a degenerate triad interaction (one where the above group velocity condition is satisfied). The Benjamin–Feir instability is of quartet type, but with non-zero $\lambda_1$.

After computing $\lambda_1$, Eq. (2.10) is solvable, with solution

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{u_1}\right) = \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{1}{\beta_0 j} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_j^m \\ T_j^m \end{array}\right) e^{i(k_j + m k_0) x} + \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta_{1,h} \\ \eta_{1,h} \end{array}\right).$$

The $u_{1,h}$ and $\zeta_{1,h}$ are homogeneous solutions of (2.10), which do not affect the stability results presented herein. When computing the eigenvalue corrections to all orders in wave slope, one should choose the homogeneous solutions to have zero support at $k_1$, as in [13]. The size of the homogeneous solutions is determined by solvability at lower orders. The coefficients in (2.14) have been explicitly calculated,

$$\gamma_j^{\pm 1} = -\frac{(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot (k_j \pm k_0)) q_j^{\pm 1} + |k_j \pm k_0| q_j^{\mp 1}}{(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot (k_j \pm k_0))^2 + (1 + |k_j \pm k_0|^2)|k_j \pm k_0|}, \quad (2.15a)$$

$$\gamma_j^0 = -\frac{q_j^0}{2(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot k_j)}, \quad (2.15b)$$

$$\gamma_j^{\pm 1} = -\frac{(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot (k_j \pm k_0)) q_j^{\pm 1} - (1 + |k_j \pm k_0|^2) q_j^{\mp 1}}{(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot (k_j \pm k_0))^2 + (1 + |k_j \pm k_0|^2)|k_j \pm k_0|}, \quad (2.15c)$$

$$\gamma_j^0 = -\frac{q_j^0}{2(\lambda_0 + i c_0 \cdot k_j)}. \quad (2.15d)$$

The equation for the next corrections ($\zeta_2, \eta_2$) and $\lambda_2$ is

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_0 + c_0 \partial_x \frac{\mathcal{L}}{1 - \sigma \Delta}}{\lambda_0 + c_0 \partial_x} \zeta_2 \right) = \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{1}{\beta_0 j} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_j^m \\ T_j^m \end{array}\right) e^{i(k_j + m k_0) x} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The coefficients $t_j^m$ and $T_j^m$ are due to interactions of wavenumber $k_j$ with the Stokes wave $k_0$ in the nonlinear terms of (2.1) as well as the second variation of the linear operators with respect to Bloch parameter. They are analogous to the $q_j^0$ in Eq. (2.10), except that the terms $t_j^0$ and $T_j^0$ include both the nonlinear interaction and the expansion of the linear operators (where as $q_j^0$ and $Q^0$ include only contributions from the expansion of the linear operator, without any contributions from the nonlinearities). The coefficients are reported below as $t_j^0 = (t_j^0)_{\xi} + (t_j^0)_{\eta}$ and $T_j^0 = (T_j^0)_{\xi} + (T_j^0)_{\eta}$ to emphasize the different origins. As with the previous section, the nonlinear contributions were computed for two-dimensional fluids absent modulation in [13]. The modational and three-dimensional coefficients extension was calculated here using the results of [13]. It is worth noting that the effect of modulation was calculated to quintic order in the context of the Zakharov equation by Stassnie and Shemer [17], in which the below coefficients are also calculated.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} t_j^0 \\ T_j^0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 \cdot k_2 + ic_2 \cdot k_j - \frac{1}{2} k_j^2 \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) k_1 + \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) \cdot k_2 \\
\frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_1 + \frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_0 + c_0 \cdot \mathcal{L} k_j \\
|k_j| \end{array}\right) e^{i(k_j + m k_0) x} \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta_{2,h} \\ \eta_{2,h} \end{array}\right).$$

The symbol $\mathcal{E}_k(k_j) = |k_j|$ is the Fourier transform of the operator induced by $z$-derivatives, using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, as in [42]. The symbols $\mathcal{E}_k(k_j)$ and $\mathcal{E}_k(k_j)$ are their gradient and Hessian respectively.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} T_j^0_{\xi} \\ T_j^0_{\eta} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 \cdot k_2 + ic_2 \cdot k_j - \frac{1}{2} k_j^2 \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) k_1 + \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) \cdot k_2 \\
\frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_1 + \frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_0 + c_0 \cdot \mathcal{L} k_j \\
|k_j| \end{array}\right) e^{i(k_j + m k_0) x} \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta_{2,h} \\ \eta_{2,h} \end{array}\right).$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} (T_j^0)^{\xi} \\ (T_j^0)^{\eta} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 \cdot k_2 + ic_2 \cdot k_j - \frac{1}{2} k_j^2 \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) k_1 + \mathcal{E}_k(k_j) \cdot k_2 \\
\frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_1 + \frac{ic_0}{|k_j|} k_2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_0 + c_0 \cdot \mathcal{L} k_j \\
|k_j| \end{array}\right) e^{i(k_j + m k_0) x} \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta_{2,h} \\ \eta_{2,h} \end{array}\right).$$

These coefficients include contributions from $\zeta_1, u_1, \eta_2$ and $\Phi_2$. The coefficients of the Fourier modes of $\zeta_1$ and $u_1$ are defined in (2.15). The coefficients of the second harmonics in $\eta_2$ and $\Phi_2$ appear in Eq. (2.2) and are referenced in the above formulae as

$$E_2 = \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - 2\sigma}\right) \text{ and } F_2 = \left(\frac{3\sigma}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma}}\right).$$

Labeling the wave numbers so that $k_1 = k_2 + 2k_0$, the solvability conditions are

$$2(\lambda_2 + ic_0 - c_2(k_1)) \cdot k_2 + \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right) = 0,$n

$$2(\lambda_2 + ic_0 - c_2(k_1)) \cdot k_2 + \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right) = 0.$$n

In these solvability conditions appear the quartet interaction coefficients, $P_{r, i}$, which are the result of wavenumber $k_i$ interacting with the Stokes wave to force equation (2.16) at wavenumber $k_i$, absent modulation. These coefficients are defined as

$$P_{1,1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 - \Gamma_0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_{1,2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 - \Gamma_0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_{2,1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 - \Gamma_0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_{2,2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 + ic_0 - k_1 T_j^0 - \Gamma_0 \\
1 + \sigma |k_1|^2 \end{array}\right).$$
where the terms with the tilde are evaluated at $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0$, i.e. absent modulational effects, so that the $P_{ij}$ match the definitions used in [13, 28]. In terms of these quartet interaction coefficients, which are all pure imaginary, we can find the first non-zero correction to the free surface,

$$
\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( P_{2,2} + P_{1,1} \right) - i \left( c_0 - c_x(k_1) + c_x(k_2) \right) \cdot \kappa_2 \\
\pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{(P_{2,2} - P_{1,1} + 2i (c_x(k_1) - c_x(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_2)^2 + 4P_{1,2}^2P_{2,1}}.
$$

(2.17)

Since the $P_{ij}$ are pure imaginary, $\lambda_2$ can have positive real part only if the product $P_{1,2}P_{2,1}$ is positive. The strongest such instabilities will occur when

$$
P_{1,1} - P_{2,2} = 2i(c_x(k_1) - c_x(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_2
$$

which is generically a modulational instability, i.e. does not occur at $\kappa_2 = 0$. This is different from the triad case, where the largest instabilities are not modulational (the largest triad instabilities occur at $\kappa_1 = 0$).

Whenever $P_{1,2}P_{2,1} > 0$, there are Bloch vectors causing modulational quartet instability satisfying

$$
\left| 2i(c_x(k_1) - c_x(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_2 - (P_{1,1} - P_{2,2}) \right| < \sqrt{4P_{1,2}^2P_{2,1}}.
$$

(2.18)

For deep water gravity waves, there is a quartet interaction at $p_0 = 1/4$ which has $P_{1,2} = P_{2,1} = 0$. Eq. (2.18) predicts no instability in this case. Thus this asymptotic argument explains why there is no observed instability or loss of analyticity near $(p, \epsilon) = (1/4, 0)$ in [13]. For gravity–capillary waves in deep water, there are quartets which lead to instability (see Fig. 2.3). The radii of convergence of the spectrum at Bloch parameters near one such quartet is compared to the prediction of (2.18) in the right panel of Fig. 2.2.

### 2.3. Higher order resonances

For finite amplitude spectra bifurcating from flat-state eigenvalue collisions of algebraic multiplicity two, the remaining cases (quintets, sextets, etc.) can be summarized as follows. For these higher order resonances, where $|k_1 - k_2| > 2$, with general $\kappa_2$

$$
\lambda_2 = -i(c_0 - c_x(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_2 + \frac{1}{2} P_{1,1},
$$

$\beta_{0,2} = 0$ and the two eigenfunctions, are at leading order, decoupled. All later eigenvalue corrections $\lambda_n$ are pure imaginary, and the eigenvalue collision does not cause instability. To have an instability bifurcating from a quintet resonance a necessary, but not sufficient, condition is

$$
2i(c_x(k_2) - c_x(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_2 = P_{1,1} - P_{2,2}.
$$

(2.19)

Thus the Bloch parameter corrections $\kappa_2$, at which instabilities can occur, live on a line in the $\kappa_2$ plane. Although the series is not computed here, we expect instability to be possible near quintet resonances for a line of $\kappa_2$ and a band of the $\kappa_3$ plane, near sextet resonances for a line in the $\kappa_3$ plane and a band in the $\kappa_4$ plane, etc. The locus of such instabilities will be at leading order at $\kappa = k_0 + \epsilon^2 k_2$, with $k_2$ from Eq. (2.19), whenever $|k_1 - k_2| > 2$. Thus although the sufficient conditions for the existence of such high order (and asymptotically small) instabilities are not reported, Eq. (2.19) predicts the locations of such instabilities. This intuition is consistent with the size and location of the instabilities computed in [20].

### 2.4. The Benjamin–Feir instability

The flat-state spectrum rarely has eigenvalue collisions of algebraic multiplicity larger than two, but one such collision occurs for all Bond numbers, and is responsible for the famous Benjamin–Feir instability. Note, although the multiplicity four eigenvalue collision occurs for all Bond numbers (and depths), the collision results in instability only for some. For example, deep-water waves in one space dimension are Benjamin–Feir stable for $\sigma \in [2\sqrt{3}/3 - 1, \frac{2}{3}]$, but still have a multiplicity four eigenvalue collision at zero amplitude. The location and size of the Benjamin–Feir instability can be predicted in this modulational framework. The Benjamin–Feir instability bifurcates from a flat-state eigenvalue collision at $\lambda_0 = 0$, of algebraic multiplicity 4 and geometric multiplicity 3; the eigenvectors are supported at three wave numbers, $k_1 = k_0$, $k_2 = -k_0$ and $k_3 = 0$. The flat-state eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\xi_0 \\
\xi_1 \\
\xi_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\
-ic_0 \cdot k_0 e^{ik_0 x} + \beta_{0,2} \left( 1 - ic_0 \cdot k_0 e^{-ik_0 x} \\
\beta_{0,3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(2.20)

Eq. (2.10) now has solvability conditions at three frequencies, yielding

$$
\begin{align}
2(\lambda_1 + i(c_0 - c_x(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_1) &= 0 \\
2\beta_{0,2}(\lambda_1 + i(c_0 - c_x(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_1) &= 0 \\
\beta_{0,3}q_1^0 &= 0.
\end{align}
$$

(2.21a, 2.21b, 2.21c)
Although the Benjamin–Feir wave numbers take part in multiple triad interactions, for example $k_1 + k_2 = k_0$, $k_3 - k_2 = k_0$, these interactions are degenerate in multiple senses. The nonlinear interaction coefficients due to these interactions, the $\tau$ of Section 2.1, are zero. The group velocities are also equal, so Eq. (2.21b) does not restrict the value of $\beta_{0,2}$. From Eq. (2.21c) we see that the mean flow term vanishes, $\beta_{0,3} = 0$. This is special to deep water; $\beta_{0,3}$ is undetermined at this order of approximation in the finite-depth problem. The first correction to the flat-state eigenvalue is 

$$\lambda_1 = -i(c_0 - c_g(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_1.$$ 

The solutions to (2.10) are identical to those of the previous section and are reported in Eq. (2.14), with the exception that since $q_{1}^{-1} = q_{2}^{-1} = Q_{1}^{-1} = Q_{2}^{-1} = 0$, the coefficients $\gamma_{1}^{-1} = \gamma_{2}^{-1} = \Gamma_{1}^{-1} = \Gamma_{2}^{-1}$ are zero. Direct use of (2.15) would yield an indeterminate form. In the Benjamin–Feir case, Eq. (2.16) has three solvability conditions

$$2(\lambda_2 + i(c_0 - c_g(k_1)) \cdot \kappa_2) - iK_1^1 \cdot H(k_1) \cdot \kappa_1 - P_{1,1} - \beta_{0,2}P_{2,2} = 0$$

$$\beta_{0,2} (2(\lambda_2 + i(c_0 - c_g(k_2)) \cdot \kappa_2) - iK_1^1 \cdot H(k_2) \cdot \kappa_1 - P_{2,1} - \beta_{0,2}P_{2,2} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 (\gamma_1^- + \beta_{0,2} \gamma_2^-) = 0.$$  

The $P_{ij}$ are the same quartet interaction coefficients reported in the previous section. The matrix $H(k_j)$ is the Hessian of the dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ evaluated at wavenumber $k_j$. The third equation in (2.22) provides no new information (since $\lambda_1$ is known and $\gamma_1^+ = \gamma_2^- = 0$). The first two equations in (2.22) determine the next eigenvalue correction given in Box I.

In our choice of coordinates, the Stokes wave is oriented along the $x$-axis, $k_0 = (1, 0)$, and Eq. (2.23) can be simplified greatly. At $k_0 = (1, 0)$, the quartet interaction coefficients are

$$P_{1,1} = P_{1,2} = -P_{2,1} = -P_{2,2} = i\frac{2\sigma^2 + \sigma + 8}{2(1 - 2\sigma)\sqrt{1 + \sigma}}.$$ 

In addition, $c_s(k_0) = c_g(-k_0)$, and $H(k_0) = -H(-k_0)$, so that

$$H(k_0) = -H(-k_0) = \begin{pmatrix}
3\sigma^2 + 6\sigma - 1 \\
4(1 + \sigma)^{3/2} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2(1 + 3\sigma)^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix},$$ 

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2}i(c_0 - c_g(k_0)) \cdot \kappa_2$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa_1 \cdot H(k_0) \cdot \kappa_1} (2iP_{1,1} - \kappa_1^1 \cdot H(k_0) \cdot \kappa_1).$$  

The region of instability, in the $k_1$ plane, based on the discriminant in Eq. (2.24) is plotted in Fig. 2.4. In the left panel of this figure is the famous Benjamin–Feir ‘x’, the instability region at $\sigma = 0$. In the right panel is the donut-shaped Benjamin–Feir instability region when $\sigma = 1$. The difference in shape is due to the change in sign of the entries of the Hessian: at $\sigma = 0$ the Hessian has two opposite signed entries, at $\sigma = 1$ it is of one sign. This sign change is the reason that capillary–gravity lump solitary waves exist near $\sigma = 1$, as in [47, 48], but such waves do not exist in the absence of surface tension. This figure could also be computed in the context of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), as in [36]; it is included here to show that the modulational spectrum includes the predictions of NLS.

If one considers perturbations whose principal modulation is in the longitudinal direction, $\kappa_1 = (p_1, 0)$, then instabilities exist in two adjacent intervals of Bloch parameter, between $p_1 = 0$ and $p_1 = \pm \frac{4(2\sigma^2 + \sigma + 8)(1 + \sigma)}{(3\sigma^2 + 6\sigma - 1)(2\sigma - 1)}$. 

Fig. 2.4. The Benjamin–Feir instability region in the $k_1$ plane is shaded black (on the left with $\sigma = 0$, on the right with $\sigma = 1$). This region is predicted by Eq. (2.24). The shape difference is due to a sign change in the Hessian of the linear dispersion relation, and is captured by Eq. (2.24).
$$2\lambda_2 = -i \left( c_0 - \frac{c_g(k_1) + c_g(k_2)}{2} \right) \kappa_2 + i k_1 \left( \frac{H(k_1) + H(k_2)}{2} \right) \kappa_1 + \frac{P_{1,1} + P_{2,2}}{2}$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( i(c_g(k_1) - c_g(k_2)) \kappa_2 + i k_1 \left( H(k_1) - H(k_2) \right) \kappa_1 + P_{1,1} - P_{2,2} \right)^2 + 4P_{1,2}P_{2,1}$$

(2.23)

Box 1.
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